

Practitioner Perspectives on Going Concern Opinion Research and Suggestions for Further Study

Marshall A. Geiger
University of Richmond

Anna Gold
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

and

Philip Wallage
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Universiteit van Amsterdam

A two-part article forthcoming in *Accounting Horizons* (2024)

Part 1 - Outcomes and Consequences

Abstract: Auditing researchers rarely engage practicing auditors regarding the phenomena examined, or conclusions reached, in academic research. In an attempt to bridge this gap, we report on the outcomes, insights, and observations from focused interactions with Dutch audit practitioners regarding their perspectives on recent research regarding auditor going-concern opinion (GCO) decisions. We provided practitioners with a summary of some of the most salient recent GCO research findings and the goals of our subsequent discussions were to (1) obtain practitioners' responses to the research findings, and (2) identify relevant issues for future study from the perspective of practitioners. Accordingly, we report on our discussions with practitioners and provide a summary of practitioner-informed GCO-related future research topics. In this first of a two-part sequence, we provide background information relating to our practitioner interactions, and summarize our discussions pertaining to *GCO outcomes* and *GCO consequences*, as well as future research.

Part 2 - Reporting Environment and the Decision-Making Process

Abstract: Auditing researchers rarely engage practicing auditors regarding the phenomena examined, or conclusions reached, in academic research. In an attempt to bridge this gap, we report on the outcomes, insights, and observations from focused interactions with Dutch audit practitioners regarding their perspectives on recent research regarding auditor going concern opinion (GCO) decisions. We provided practitioners with a summary of some of the most salient recent GCO research findings. The goals of our subsequent discussions were to (1) obtain practitioners' responses to the research findings, and (2) identify relevant issues for future study from the perspective of practitioners. Accordingly, in this second of a two-part sequence of articles, we discuss the outcomes of our interactions with practitioners related to the *GCO reporting environment* and the *GCO decision-making process*, as well as directions for future research.